



Landscape and seasonal effects on crude protein, fibre and *in vitro* fermentation characteristics of common grass species in semi-arid communal grazing lands of South Africa

Ayanda Kwaza, Solomon Tefera, Victor Mlambo and Keletso Mopipi

51st GSSA Annual Congress, The Wilderness Hotel, 07 July 2016

BACKGROUND

- Communal rangelands sustain a large proportion of the livestock of South Africa
- Grasses make up the largest proportion of the native pastures, and therefore contribute the bulk of livestock forage nutrients
- Native pastures have been reported to have lower CP and higher fibre content that negatively affects intake and digestibility
- Marginal deficiencies of protein and energy in native pastures result in low livestock performance and productivity

PROBLEM STATEMENT

- One of the biggest challenges in communally based livestock production system in South Africa is the fluctuation in quality of available forages
- There is inadequate information with respect to changes in protein, fibre and *in vitro* fermentation characteristics of grasses as influenced by season and landscape position

JUSTIFICATION

- Unravelling nutrient quality trends of rangeland forages over landscapes & seasons will assist in predicting spatial & temporal variations in livestock nutritional status and,
- allow for the timely implementation of corrective measures of grazing management & nutrient supplementation

OBJECTIVES

- The objective of this study was to investigate the effect of season (autumn, summer, spring and winter), and landscape gradients (upland, sloping and bottomland) on CP, Fibre and *In vitro* ruminal fermentation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Hala (Highveld)

- 32° 38' S and 26° 35' E, alt. 985m
- Av. rainfall is 800 mm p.a
- The mean temp. in summer is 20°C and in winter is 8°C
- Livestock types: Cattle,
 - Sheep,
 - Goats &
 - Donkeys

Gqumashe (Lowveld)

- 32° 46' S and 26° 51' E, alt. 574 m
- Av. rainfall is 480 mm p.a
- max and min temp. 26 - 41°C in summer and 5 - 11°C in winter
- Livestock types: Cattle,
 - Sheep,
 - Goats &
 - Donkeys

Transect establishment and sample collection

- Three long transects that extended from the uplands to the bottom lands were established.
- Along each transect, sites representing the landscape positions were marked making a total of three sites per landscape unit.
- Samples for each species were harvested to a stubble height of 5 - 6 cm from six 0.25 m² quadrants per plot, between (2012/13).

Chemical analysis

Grass samples were analyzed for:

- Crude protein (CP),
- Neutral detergent fibre (aNDFom),
- Acid detergent fibre (ADFom), and
- *In vitro* ruminal gas production and degradability

Statistical analyses

- General linear models (GLM) procedure of SAS (2001),
- to test differences between grass species, seasons and landscape gradients.
- Data analysis was done separately for the two study areas.

Table 1. Mean CP, aNDFom and ADFom contents (gkg^{-1} DM) of bulk forage at different landscape positions in the Highland.

<i>Landscape gradient</i>	<i>CP</i>	<i>aNDFom</i>	<i>ADFom</i>
Bottomland	51.6 ^a	689.4 ^a	376.2 ^b
Sloping	44.6 ^b	691.5 ^a	390.7 ^a
Uplands	50.7 ^a	678.1 ^a	375.6 ^b

- ^{ab} In a column, means with different superscripts differ ($p \leq 0.05$).

Table 2. Mean *in vitro* cumulative gas production (24, 36, and 48 h post-incubation, ml g⁻¹ DM), 48h *in vitro* ruminal degradability (48h DMD, g kg⁻¹) and partition factor (PF, mg DMD/ml gas produced) of selected grass species at different seasons in the Highland

<i>Parameter</i>	<i>Season</i>	<i>C. dactylon</i>	<i>E. chloromelas</i>	<i>E. plana</i>	<i>S. africanus</i>	<i>T. triandra</i>
24h	Autumn	611.3 ^a	594.0 ^a	603.4 ^a	596.3 ^a	564.5 ^a
	Winter	558.7 ^b	521.0 ^b	521.5 ^b	510.3 ^b	529.2 ^a
36h	Autumn	760.8 ^a	749.9 ^a	757.4 ^a	754.3 ^a	685.5 ^a
	Winter	668.9 ^b	624.7 ^b	640.2 ^b	632.0 ^b	640.3 ^a
48h	Autumn	848.4 ^a	848.8 ^a	864.1 ^a	863.3 ^a	765.0 ^a
	Winter	740.8 ^b	693.1 ^b	721.7 ^b	716.8 ^b	719.1 ^a
48h DMD	Autumn	457.7 ^a	460.7 ^a	458.5 ^a	467.5 ^a	443.7 ^a
	Winter	372.4 ^b	285.2 ^b	306.4 ^b	335.5 ^b	355.3 ^b
PF	Autumn	0.54 ^a	0.54 ^a	0.53 ^a	0.55 ^a	0.58 ^a
	Winter	0.51 ^a	0.42 ^b	0.43 ^b	0.47 ^b	0.49 ^b

^{ab} For each species, within incubation time, means with different superscripts differ ($p \leq 0.05$).

Table 3. Mean (CP), (aNDFom) and (ADFom) contents (gkg⁻¹ DM) of bulk forages at different landscape positions in the Lowland communal areas.

<i>Landscape gradient</i>	<i>CP</i>	<i>aNDFom</i>	<i>ADFom</i>
Bottomland	54.8 ^a	684.0 ^a	373.2 ^a
Sloping	56.6 ^a	682.4 ^a	370.5 ^a
Uplands	49.8 ^b	678.1 ^a	379.6 ^a

^{ab} In a column, means with different superscripts differ ($p \leq 0.05$).

Table 4. Mean crude protein (gkg^{-1} DM) content of the interaction of season and selected grass species in the Lowland.

<i>Grass species</i>	Season			
	<i>Autumn</i>	<i>Spring</i>	<i>Summer</i>	<i>Winter</i>
<i>C. dactylon</i>	76.0 ^{Cc}	61.9 ^{Bbc}	61.7 ^{Bb}	47.8 ^{Ac}
<i>E. chloromelas</i>	85.4 ^{Cc}	78.0 ^{Bc}	64.5 ^{BCc}	43.9 ^{Abc}
<i>E. plana</i>	44.3 ^{ABa}	55.7 ^{Bab}	48.8 ^{Bb}	35.5 ^{Aabc}
<i>S. africanus</i>	51.3 ^{Cab}	37.5 ^{ABa}	46.1 ^{Ba}	27.4 ^{Aa}
<i>T. triandra</i>	60.8 ^{Bb}	61.3 ^{Babc}	51.9 ^{Bb}	34.6 ^{Aab}

A,B,C In a row, means with the same uppercase superscripts do not differ ($p > 0.05$)

a,b,c In a column, means with the same lowercase superscripts do not differ ($p > 0.05$)

Table 5. Mean *in vitro* cumulative gas production (24, 36, and 48 h post-incubation, ml g⁻¹ DM), 48h *in vitro* ruminal degradability (48h DMD, g kg⁻¹) and partition factor (PF, mg DMD/ml gas produced) of selected grass species at different seasons in the Lowland.

Parameter	Season	<i>C. dactylon</i>	<i>E. chloromelas</i>	<i>E. plana</i>	<i>S. africanus</i>	<i>T. triandra</i>
24h	Autumn	628.6 ^a	587.4 ^a	616.7 ^a	590.9 ^a	598.4 ^a
	Winter	532.8 ^b	505.5 ^b	584.4 ^a	515.8 ^b	517.4 ^b
36h	Autumn	751.2 ^a	706.6 ^a	759.7 ^a	708.0 ^a	704.0 ^a
	Winter	642.8 ^b	618.3 ^b	699.6 ^a	625.3 ^b	626.2 ^b
48h	Autumn	826.4 ^a	785.4 ^a	851.8 ^a	795.6 ^a	784.9 ^a
	Winter	714.2 ^b	700.1 ^b	793.7 ^a	704.6 ^b	706.7 ^b
48h DMD	Autumn	429.4 ^a	397.9 ^a	384.4 ^a	369.6 ^a	450.1 ^a
	Winter	324.1 ^b	313.2 ^b	354.6 ^a	286.4 ^b	332.4 ^b
PF	Autumn	0.52 ^a	0.51 ^a	0.45 ^a	0.46 ^a	0.57 ^a
	Winter	0.46 ^b	0.45 ^b	0.45 ^a	0.41 ^a	0.46 ^b

^{ab} For each species, within incubation time, means with different superscripts differ ($p \leq 0.05$).

Conclusion

- Generally seasonal variation imposed greater influence on nutritive value of grass herbage than spatial variation.
- In both sites, *T triandra* emerged as the grass with the highest potential as ruminant feed.
- Crude protein content of grass herbage in both communal grazing areas was likely to be inadequate for maintenance of ruminant animals, but the severity depends mainly on season.
- This urges the need for protein supplementation in order to improve animal productivity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Many thanks go to:

- ✓ Govan Mbeki Research and Development Centre of the University of Fort Hare for funding this project.
- ✓ Residents of Hala and Gqumashe communal areas for their active participation.

